# LOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF CURVES

# DAVID PIERCE

### **CONTENTS**



## . Ellipses and elliptic curves

An ellipse is given by an equation

$$
\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1.
$$

In general, length along a curve from P to Q is given by  $\int_P^Q \sqrt{d x^2 + d y^2}$ . For the ellipse, we compute

$$
\frac{2x \, dx}{a^2} + \frac{2y \, dy}{b^2} = 0, \qquad dy^2 = \frac{b^4 x^2}{a^4 y^2} \, dx^2 = \frac{b^2 x^2}{a^2 (a^2 - x^2)} \, dx^2,
$$

so

$$
\int \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2} = \int \sqrt{\frac{a^2(a^2 - x^2) + b^2x^2}{a^2(a^2 - x^2)}} dx
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{a} \int \sqrt{\frac{a^4 - c^2x^2}{a^2 - x^2}} dx = \frac{1}{a} \int \frac{y}{a^2 - x^2} dx$ ,

where  $b^2 + c^2 = a^2$  and

$$
y^2 = (a^2 - x^2)(a^4 - c^2x^2).
$$

Assuming  $c \neq 0$ , the last equation defines an elliptic curve and is equivalent to:

$$
y^{2} = (x^{2} - a^{2})(c^{2}x^{2} - a^{4}),
$$

$$
\left(\frac{y}{(x+a)^{2}}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{x-a}{x+a}\right)\left(\frac{cx+a^{2}}{x+a}\right)\left(\frac{cx-a^{2}}{x+a}\right).
$$

We rewrite this as

$$
v^2 = \beta u(u - \mu)(u - \rho),
$$

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

 $Date: December 9, 2009.$ 

Notes prepared for the algebra seminar, November 20, 2009.

where

$$
v = \frac{y}{(x+a)^2},
$$
  

$$
u = \frac{x-a}{x+a},
$$

and  $\beta$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\rho$  are such that

$$
\left(\frac{cx+a^2}{x+a}\right)\left(\frac{cx-a^2}{x+a}\right) = \beta(u-\mu)(u-\rho),
$$
  

$$
c^2\left(x-\frac{a^2}{c}\right)\left(x+\frac{a^2}{c}\right) = \beta(x-a-\mu(x+a))(x-a-\rho(x+a))
$$
  

$$
= \beta((1-\mu)x-(1+\mu))\left((1-\rho)x-(1+\rho)a\right)
$$
  

$$
= \beta(1-\mu)(1-\rho)\left(x-\frac{1+\mu}{1-\mu}\right)\left(x-\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right).
$$

So it suffices if

$$
c^2 = \beta(1 - \mu)(1 - \rho),
$$
  $\frac{a^2}{c} = \frac{1 + \mu}{1 - \mu},$   $-\frac{a^2}{c} = \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho},$ 

that is,

$$
\mu = \frac{a^2 - c}{a^2 + c}
$$
,  $\rho = \frac{1}{\mu}$ ,  $\beta = -\frac{c^2 \mu}{(1 + \mu)^2}$ .

After another change of variables, the equation becomes

$$
y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)
$$

(where  $\lambda = \rho/\mu$ ). On this curve, the differential form  $d x/y$  is holomorphic. But

$$
Q \mapsto \int_P^Q \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{y}
$$

is well defined, not on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$  (that is,  $\mathbb{C} \cup {\infty}$ ), but rather on the Riemann surface got by cutting and gluing two copies of this along lines from 0 to  $\infty$  and 1 to  $\lambda$ : the surface is then a torus. This then is the elliptic curve, and the function above is an analytic bijection onto  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  for some lattice  $\Lambda$ .

### . Curves and function fields

Let K and L be algebraically closed fields, with  $K \subset L$  and  $\text{tr-deg}(L/K) = \infty$ . An irreducible f in  $K[X, Y]$  defines a curve C over K, namely

$$
C = \{(x, y) \in L^2 : f(x, y) = 0\}.
$$

We define

$$
K[C] = K[X, Y]/(f),
$$
  

$$
K(C) =
$$
 fraction field of  $K[C]$ ;

this is the field of **rational functions** on  $C$  over  $K$ . Then

$$
K[C] = K[a, b]
$$
  

$$
K(C) = K(a, b),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}\na &= ((x, y) \mapsto x) \\
b &= ((x, y) \mapsto y)\n\end{aligned}\n\text{ on } C,
$$

so that  $f(a, b) = 0$  and  $(a, b)$  is a **generic point** of C over K; we may assume  $(a, b) \in L^2$ . Say also

$$
D = \{(x, y) \in L^2 \colon g(x, y) = 0\},\
$$

and  $\varphi^*$  is an embedding of  $K(C)$  in  $K(D)$  over K. Then

$$
0 = \varphi^*(f(a,b)) = f(\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b)),
$$

so  $(\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b))$  is a generic point of C and is also a **dominant rational map**  $\varphi$  from D onto C. We recover  $\varphi^*$  by

$$
\varphi^*(h)=h\circ\varphi.
$$

Indeed,

$$
\varphi^*(a) = a(\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b)) = a \circ (\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b)) = a \circ \varphi,
$$

and likewise for b.

**Rule.** The K-algebra  $K(C)$  embeds in  $K(D)$  if and only if C has a generic point with coordinates from  $K(D)$ .

We also have

$$
K(C) \cong K(D) \iff D
$$
 and C are birationally equivalent.

For example, the function

$$
(u,v)\mapsto \left(\frac{x-a}{x+a},\frac{y}{(x+a)^2}\right)
$$

determines a birational equivalence between the elliptic curves above.

Or let  $f = X^2 + Y^2$  and  $g = X$ . See Figure 1. Then  $\varphi: C \to D$ , where

$$
\varphi(x,y) = \frac{y}{1+x}, \qquad \varphi^{-1}(t) = \left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}, \frac{2t}{1-t^2}\right),
$$

so C and D are birationally equivalent, and

$$
K(D) \cong K(e) \cong K(a, b) \cong K(C)
$$

$$
e \mapsto \frac{b}{1+a}
$$

$$
\frac{1-e^2}{1+e^2} \leftarrow a
$$

$$
\frac{2e}{1-e^2} \leftarrow b
$$

Every curve C has a **genus**  $\gamma(C)$  in N. If  $K(C)$  embeds in  $K(D)$  over K, then

$$
\gamma(C) \leqslant \gamma(D).
$$

If the embedding is *proper*, then either  $\gamma(C) < \gamma(D)$  or

$$
0 \leqslant \gamma(C) \leqslant \gamma(D) \leqslant 1.
$$

If  $\gamma(C) = 0$ , then  $K(C) \cong K(X)$ .



FIGURE 1. Birational equivalence of circle and straight line

#### . Logic and elliptic curves

Suppose  $K(C) \not\cong K(D)$ . We may assume  $\gamma(C) \leq \gamma(D) < \gamma(E)$  for some curve E. Then the formula

$$
\exists y \ (x, y) \in E
$$

defines K in  $K(C)$  and  $K(D)$ . If  $\gamma(C) < \gamma(D)$  or  $1 < \gamma(C) = \gamma(D)$ , then the sentence  $\forall x \forall y \exists z ((x, y) \in D \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E)$ 

is true in  $K(C)$ , but not  $K(D)$ , so these algebras have different **theories**; we say they are not elementarily equivalent, and we write

$$
K(C) \not\equiv K(D).
$$

We cannot then have  $0 = \gamma(C) = \gamma(D)$ . The remaining possibility is  $1 = \gamma(C) = \gamma(D)$ , that is,  $C$  and  $D$  are elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve  $E$  is also an abelian group; the curve has **complex multiplication** if  $\text{End}(E) \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem** (Jean-Louis Duret (1992); D.P. (1998)). If C and D are curves over K, and C is not an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, then

$$
K(C) \ncong K(D) \implies K(C) \not\equiv K(D).
$$

In general, if  $\varphi: D \to C$ , then

$$
\deg(\varphi) = [K(D) : K(C)]
$$

**Theorem** (D.P. (1998)). Suppose C and D are elliptic curves over K with complex multiplication. The following are equivalent.

(1) There are  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  from C onto D with

$$
\gcd(\deg(\varphi), \deg(\varphi')) = 1.
$$

(2)  $K(C)$  and  $K(D)$  agree on all sentences

$$
\forall (x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1})\ \exists y\ \psi(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1},y),
$$

where  $\psi$  is quantifier-free.

If char(K) = 0, then the foregoing are equivalent to the following.

(3) End(C)  $\cong$  End(D).

Say  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  are elliptic curves over  $\mathbb C$ . For each i in  $\{0,1\}$  there are  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  in  $\mathbb C$  such that  $E_i$  is birationally equivalent to the curve defined by

$$
y^2 = 4x^3 - A_ix - B_i.
$$

So we may assume  $E_i$  is this curve. There is a lattice  $\Lambda_i$ , namely  $\langle 1, \tau_i \rangle$ , where  $\Im(\tau_i) > 0$ , and there is a function  $\wp_i$ , namely

$$
z \mapsto \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda_i \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right),
$$

such that  $(\varphi_i, \varphi_i')$  is a generic point of  $E_i$  and is a bijection from  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_i$  to  $E_i$ . Say  $\varphi: E_0 \to E_1$ . There are  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  in  $\mathbb C$  such that the following commutes.

$$
\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_0 \xrightarrow{(\wp_0, \wp_0')} E_0
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{and} \\
\downarrow E_0 \\
\text{and} \\
\text{and} \\
\downarrow E_1 \\
\downarrow E_2 \\
\downarrow E_1 \\
\downarrow E_2 \\
\downarrow E_1 \\
\downarrow E_2 \\
\downarrow E_1\n\end{array}
$$

We may assume  $\omega = 0$ , so  $\varphi$  is an **isogeny** and, in particular, a homomorphism. We must have

$$
\alpha\Lambda_0\subseteq\Lambda_1,
$$

and then

$$
\deg(\varphi) = [\Lambda_1 : \alpha \Lambda_0].
$$
  
Also, if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , there is a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  or  $M$  in  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  

$$
\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + b\tau_1 \\ c + d\tau_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

and then

$$
\deg(\varphi) = \det(M).
$$

Also

$$
\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ \tau_0 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^{-1} \det(M) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^{-1} \deg(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
z \mapsto \alpha^{-1} \deg(\varphi) z : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_0
$$

so



FIGURE 2. A lattice and its endomorphisms

corresponding to an isogeny  $\hat{\varphi}$  from  $E_1$  to  $E_0$ . Then

$$
\deg(\hat{\varphi}) = \deg(\varphi),
$$
  

$$
\hat{\varphi}\varphi = [\deg(\varphi)]
$$

where  $[n]$  is multiplication by n.

If E corresponds to  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , then

$$
End(E) \cong \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \colon z\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda \}.
$$

For example, if

$$
\tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-7}}{4}.
$$

then (see Figure 2)

$$
End(E)=\langle 1, 2\tau \rangle.
$$

In general, if E has complex multiplication, this means, for some  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$
\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + b\tau \\ c + d\tau \end{pmatrix},
$$

so

$$
\alpha = a + b\tau,
$$
  
\n
$$
c + d\tau = \alpha \tau = (a + b\tau)\tau,
$$
  
\n
$$
b\tau^2 + (a - d)\tau - c = 0.
$$

So  $E$  has complex multiplication if and only if  $\tau$  is quadratic. If indeed

$$
b\tau^2 + a\tau - c = 0
$$

in lowest terms, then one shows

$$
End(E) \cong \langle 1, b\bar{\tau} \rangle;
$$

in any case,  $End(E)$  embeds in  $\Lambda$ .

In general, since End(E) embeds in  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is commutative. Suppose  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are isogenies from  $E_0$  to  $E_1$  of relatively prime degrees. There are integers m and n such that

$$
m \deg(\varphi) + n \deg(\psi) = 1.
$$

Then  $\text{End}(E_1) \cong \text{End}(E_0)$  by

$$
\alpha \mapsto m\hat{\varphi}\alpha\varphi + n\hat{\psi}\alpha\psi.
$$

Now suppose conversely  $\text{End}(E_1) \cong \text{End}(E_0)$ , and each curve has complex multiplication. Then  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  have a common sublattice, so by linear algebra we may assume  $\tau_1 = n\tau_0$  for some *n*.

**Theorem** (D.P.). Say End(E<sub>1</sub>)  $\cong$  End(E<sub>0</sub>)  $\ncong \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $b\tau_0^2 + a\tau_0 - c = 0$ 

in lowest terms, and  $\tau_1 = n\tau_0$ . Then

$$
\mathrm{Hom}(E_0,E_1)\cong \langle n,b\bar{\tau}\rangle.
$$

If this takes  $\varphi$  to  $nx + by\overline{\tau}$ , then

$$
\deg(\varphi) = nx^2 - axy - \frac{bc}{n}y^2,
$$

a quadratic form with relatively prime coefficients, so it represents coprime numbers.

Suppose now p divides the degree of every isogeny from  $E_0$  to  $E_1$ . Then there is a finite set  $\mathcal L$  of lattices, each having index p in  $\Lambda_1$ , such that, if

$$
\alpha\Lambda_0\subseteq\Lambda_1,
$$

then, for some  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

 $\alpha\Lambda_0\subseteq\Lambda\subset\Lambda_1.$ 

Hence

$$
K(E_0)\ncong K(E_1),
$$

because  $K(E_0)$  but not  $K(E_1)$  is a field L such that, if

$$
\varphi^*[K(E_1)] \subseteq L,
$$

then

$$
\varphi^*[K(E_1)] \subset F \subseteq L,
$$

where the isomorphism-class of F over  $\varphi^*[K(E_1)]$  has finitely many possibilities.

MATHEMATICS DEPT, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ANKARA 06531, TURKEY E-mail address: dpierce@metu.edu.tr

URL: http://metu.edu.tr/~dpierce/