LOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF CURVES

DAVID PIERCE

Contents

1.	Ellipses and elliptic curves	1
2.	Curves and function fields	2
$3 \cdot$	Logic and elliptic curves	4

1. ELLIPSES AND ELLIPTIC CURVES

An **ellipse** is given by an equation

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1.$$

In general, length along a curve from P to Q is given by $\int_P^Q \sqrt{\mathrm{d} x^2 + \mathrm{d} y^2}$. For the ellipse, we compute

$$\frac{2x \,\mathrm{d} x}{a^2} + \frac{2y \,\mathrm{d} y}{b^2} = 0, \qquad \qquad \mathrm{d} y^2 = \frac{b^4 x^2}{a^4 y^2} \,\mathrm{d} x^2 = \frac{b^2 x^2}{a^2 (a^2 - x^2)} \,\mathrm{d} x^2,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\int \sqrt{\mathrm{d} x^2 + \mathrm{d} y^2} = \int \sqrt{\frac{a^2(a^2 - x^2) + b^2 x^2}{a^2(a^2 - x^2)}} \,\mathrm{d} x$$
$$= \frac{1}{a} \int \sqrt{\frac{a^4 - c^2 x^2}{a^2 - x^2}} \,\mathrm{d} x = \frac{1}{a} \int \frac{y}{a^2 - x^2} \,\mathrm{d} x,$$

where $b^2 + c^2 = a^2$ and

$$y^{2} = (a^{2} - x^{2})(a^{4} - c^{2}x^{2}).$$

Assuming $c \neq 0$, the last equation defines an **elliptic curve** and is equivalent to:

$$y^{2} = (x^{2} - a^{2})(c^{2}x^{2} - a^{4}),$$
$$\left(\frac{y}{(x+a)^{2}}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{x-a}{x+a}\right)\left(\frac{cx+a^{2}}{x+a}\right)\left(\frac{cx-a^{2}}{x+a}\right).$$

We rewrite this as

$$v^2 = \beta u(u-\mu)(u-\rho),$$

1

Date: December 9, 2009.

Notes prepared for the algebra seminar, November 20, 2009.

where

$$v = \frac{y}{(x+a)^2}, \qquad \qquad u = \frac{x-a}{x+a},$$

and β , μ , and ρ are such that

$$\left(\frac{cx+a^2}{x+a}\right) \left(\frac{cx-a^2}{x+a}\right) = \beta(u-\mu)(u-\rho),$$

$$c^2 \left(x-\frac{a^2}{c}\right) \left(x+\frac{a^2}{c}\right) = \beta(x-a-\mu(x+a))(x-a-\rho(x+a))$$

$$= \beta((1-\mu)x-(1+\mu))\left((1-\rho)x-(1+\rho)a\right)$$

$$= \beta(1-\mu)(1-\rho)\left(x-\frac{1+\mu}{1-\mu}\right)\left(x-\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right).$$

So it suffices if

$$c^{2} = \beta(1-\mu)(1-\rho),$$
 $\frac{a^{2}}{c} = \frac{1+\mu}{1-\mu},$ $-\frac{a^{2}}{c} = \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho},$

that is,

$$\mu = \frac{a^2 - c}{a^2 + c}, \qquad \qquad \rho = \frac{1}{\mu}, \qquad \qquad \beta = -\frac{c^2 \mu}{(1 + \mu)^2}.$$

After another change of variables, the equation becomes

$$y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$$

(where $\lambda = \rho/\mu$). On this curve, the differential form dx/y is holomorphic. But

$$Q \mapsto \int_P^Q \frac{\mathrm{d}\,x}{y}$$

is well defined, not on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$ (that is, $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$), but rather on the Riemann surface got by cutting and gluing two copies of this along lines from 0 to ∞ and 1 to λ : the surface is then a **torus.** This then is the elliptic curve, and the function above is an analytic bijection onto \mathbb{C}/Λ for some lattice Λ .

2. CURVES AND FUNCTION FIELDS

Let K and L be algebraically closed fields, with $K \subset L$ and $\operatorname{tr-deg}(L/K) = \infty$. An irreducible f in K[X, Y] defines a **curve** C over K, namely

$$C = \{ (x, y) \in L^2 \colon f(x, y) = 0 \}.$$

We define

$$K[C] = K[X, Y]/(f),$$

$$K(C) =$$
fraction field of $K[C]$:

this is the field of **rational functions** on C over K. Then

$$K[C] = K[a, b]$$

$$K(C) = K(a, b),$$

where

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a = ((x,y) \mapsto x) \\ b = ((x,y) \mapsto y) \end{array} \right\} \text{ on } C,$$

so that f(a,b) = 0 and (a,b) is a **generic point** of *C* over *K*; we may assume $(a,b) \in L^2$. Say also

$$D = \{ (x, y) \in L^2 \colon g(x, y) = 0 \},\$$

and φ^* is an embedding of K(C) in K(D) over K. Then

ſ

$$0 = \varphi^*(f(a, b)) = f(\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b)),$$

so $(\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b))$ is a generic point of C and is also a **dominant rational map** φ from D onto C. We recover φ^* by

$$\varphi^*(h) = h \circ \varphi.$$

Indeed,

$$\varphi^*(a) = a(\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b)) = a \circ (\varphi^*(a), \varphi^*(b)) = a \circ \varphi,$$

and likewise for b.

Rule. The K-algebra K(C) embeds in K(D) if and only if C has a generic point with coordinates from K(D).

We also have

$$K(C) \cong K(D) \iff D$$
 and C are birationally equivalent.

For example, the function

$$(u,v)\mapsto \Big(\frac{x-a}{x+a},\frac{y}{(x+a)^2}\Big)$$

determines a birational equivalence between the elliptic curves above.

Or let $f = X^2 + Y^2$ and g = X. See Figure 1. Then $\varphi \colon C \to D$, where

$$\varphi(x,y) = \frac{y}{1+x},$$
 $\varphi^{-1}(t) = \left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}, \frac{2t}{1-t^2}\right),$

so C and D are birationally equivalent, and

$$\begin{split} K(D) &\cong K(e) \cong K(a,b) \cong K(C) \\ e &\mapsto \frac{b}{1+a} \\ \frac{1-e^2}{1+e^2} \leftarrow a \\ \frac{2e}{1-e^2} \leftarrow b \end{split}$$

Every curve C has a genus $\gamma(C)$ in N. If K(C) embeds in K(D) over K, then

$$\gamma(C) \leqslant \gamma(D)$$

If the embedding is *proper*, then either $\gamma(C) < \gamma(D)$ or

$$0 \leq \gamma(C) \leq \gamma(D) \leq 1.$$

If $\gamma(C) = 0$, then $K(C) \cong K(X)$.



FIGURE 1. Birational equivalence of circle and straight line

3. LOGIC AND ELLIPTIC CURVES

Suppose $K(C) \ncong K(D)$. We may assume $\gamma(C) \leqslant \gamma(D) < \gamma(E)$ for some curve E. Then the formula

$$\exists y \ (x, y) \in E$$

defines K in K(C) and K(D). If $\gamma(C) < \gamma(D)$ or $1 < \gamma(C) = \gamma(D)$, then the sentence $\forall x \ \forall y \ \exists z \ ((x, y) \in D \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E)$

is true in K(C), but not K(D), so these algebras have different **theories**; we say they are not **elementarily equivalent**, and we write

$$K(C) \not\equiv K(D).$$

We cannot then have $0 = \gamma(C) = \gamma(D)$. The remaining possibility is $1 = \gamma(C) = \gamma(D)$, that is, C and D are elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve E is also an abelian group; the curve has **complex multiplication** if $\operatorname{End}(E) \ncong \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (Jean-Louis Duret (1992); D.P. (1998)). If C and D are curves over K, and C is not an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, then

$$K(C) \ncong K(D) \implies K(C) \not\equiv K(D).$$

In general, if $\varphi \colon D \to C$, then

$$\deg(\varphi) = [K(D) : K(C)]$$

Theorem (D.P. (1998)). Suppose C and D are elliptic curves over K with complex multiplication. The following are equivalent.

(1) There are φ and φ' from C onto D with

$$gcd(deg(\varphi), deg(\varphi')) = 1.$$

(2) K(C) and K(D) agree on all sentences

$$\forall (x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}) \exists y \ \psi(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1},y),$$

where ψ is quantifier-free.

If char(K) = 0, then the foregoing are equivalent to the following.

(3) $\operatorname{End}(C) \cong \operatorname{End}(D)$.

Say E_0 and E_1 are elliptic curves over \mathbb{C} . For each i in $\{0,1\}$ there are A_i and B_i in \mathbb{C} such that E_i is birationally equivalent to the curve defined by

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - A_i x - B_i.$$

So we may assume E_i is this curve. There is a lattice Λ_i , namely $\langle 1, \tau_i \rangle$, where $\Im(\tau_i) > 0$, and there is a function \wp_i , namely

$$z \mapsto \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda_i \smallsetminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right),$$

such that (\wp_i, \wp_i') is a generic point of E_i and is a bijection from \mathbb{C}/Λ_i to E_i . Say $\varphi \colon E_0 \to E_1$. There are α and ω in \mathbb{C} such that the following commutes.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{0} & \xrightarrow{(\wp_{0},\wp_{0}')} & E_{0} \\
\xrightarrow{z\mapsto\alpha z} & & & \\
\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{1} & & & \\
\xrightarrow{z\mapsto z+\omega} & & & \\
\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{1} & \xrightarrow{(\wp_{1},\wp_{1}')} & E_{1}
\end{array}$$

We may assume $\omega = 0$, so φ is an **isogeny** and, in particular, a homomorphism. We must have

$$\alpha \Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda_1,$$

and then

and then

$$deg(\varphi) = [\Lambda_1 : \alpha \Lambda_0].$$
Also, if $\alpha \neq 0$, there is a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ or M in $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + b\tau_1 \\ c + d\tau_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then

$$\deg(\varphi) = \det(M).$$

Also

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_0 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^{-1} \det(M) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^{-1} \deg(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$z \mapsto \alpha^{-1} \deg(\varphi) z \colon \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_0$$

so



FIGURE 2. A lattice and its endomorphisms

corresponding to an isogeny $\hat{\varphi}$ from E_1 to E_0 . Then

$$\deg(\hat{\varphi}) = \deg(\varphi),$$

$$\hat{\varphi}\varphi = [\deg(\varphi)]$$

where [n] is multiplication by n.

If E corresponds to \mathbb{C}/Λ , then

$$\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \colon z\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda \}$$

For example, if

$$\tau = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-7}}{4}.$$

then (see Figure 2)

$$\operatorname{End}(E) = \langle 1, 2\tau \rangle.$$

In general, if E has complex multiplication, this means, for some α in $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+b\tau\\ c+d\tau \end{pmatrix},$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\alpha = a + b\tau,$$

$$c + d\tau = \alpha\tau = (a + b\tau)\tau,$$

$$b\tau^{2} + (a - d)\tau - c = 0.$$

So E has complex multiplication if and only if τ is quadratic. If indeed

$$b\tau^2 + a\tau - c = 0$$

in lowest terms, then one shows

$$\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \langle 1, b\bar{\tau} \rangle$$

in any case, $\operatorname{End}(E)$ embeds in Λ .

In general, since $\operatorname{End}(E)$ embeds in \mathbb{C} , it is commutative. Suppose φ and ψ are isogenies from E_0 to E_1 of relatively prime degrees. There are integers m and n such that

$$m \deg(\varphi) + n \deg(\psi) = 1.$$

Then $\operatorname{End}(E_1) \cong \operatorname{End}(E_0)$ by

$$\alpha \mapsto m\hat{\varphi}\alpha\varphi + n\bar{\psi}\alpha\psi.$$

Now suppose conversely $\operatorname{End}(E_1) \cong \operatorname{End}(E_0)$, and each curve has complex multiplication. Then Λ_0 and Λ_1 have a common sublattice, so by linear algebra we may assume $\tau_1 = n\tau_0$ for some n.

Theorem (D.P.). Say $\operatorname{End}(E_1) \cong \operatorname{End}(E_0) \ncong \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$b\tau_0^2 + a\tau_0 - c = 0$$

in lowest terms, and $\tau_1 = n\tau_0$. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E_0, E_1) \cong \langle n, b\bar{\tau} \rangle.$$

If this takes φ to $nx + by\overline{\tau}$, then

$$\deg(\varphi) = nx^2 - axy - \frac{bc}{n}y^2,$$

a quadratic form with relatively prime coefficients, so it represents coprime numbers.

Suppose now p divides the degree of every isogeny from E_0 to E_1 . Then there is a finite set \mathcal{L} of lattices, each having index p in Λ_1 , such that, if

$$\alpha \Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda_1$$

then, for some Λ in \mathcal{L} ,

 $\alpha \Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda \subset \Lambda_1.$

Hence

$$K(E_0) \ncong K(E_1),$$

because $K(E_0)$ but not $K(E_1)$ is a field L such that, if

$$\varphi^*[K(E_1)] \subseteq L,$$

then

$$\varphi^*[K(E_1)] \subset F \subseteq L,$$

where the isomorphism-class of F over $\varphi^*[K(E_1)]$ has finitely many possibilities.

MATHEMATICS DEPT, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ANKARA 06531, TURKEY E-mail address: dpierce@metu.edu.tr

URL: http://metu.edu.tr/~dpierce/